

## USCIS Continues to Modify Its Policies to Reject Petitions Outright

By Elizabeth M. Klarin

## June 11, 2019 | IMMIGRATION

In another bid to outright reject applications for nonimmigrant status, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration services (USCIS) recently announced that starting on August 5, it will begin rejecting Form I-129 (petitions for nonimmigrant workers) that do not include the petitioner's or applicant's name and primary U.S. office address in Part 1 of Form I-129. This adds to the list of reasons the USCIS reserves to outright reject petitions, which currently includes things like lack of signature, incorrect fees, or unauthorized third party signing on behalf of the petitioner.

This move further emphasizes how critical it is for petitioners, applicants and requestors to carefully read the form instructions related to their filing, or closely follow the advice of their legal representatives, to ensure that petitions are not rejected—which can delay or derail requests for critical benefits. This is particularly important where petitions, applications or requests are time sensitive, such as where they must be filed by a certain date, beneficiary age, etc. in order for the beneficiary to qualify for the benefit.

Please reach out to your LMWF immigration professional with any further questions relating to this announcement.

**Disclaimer:** The information in this post is provided for general informational purposes only, and may not reflect the current law in your jurisdiction. No information contained in this post should be construed as legal advice from our firm or the individual author, nor is it intended to be a substitute for legal counsel on any subject matter. No reader of this post should act or refrain from acting on the basis of any information included in, or accessible through, this post without seeking the appropriate legal or other professional advice on the particular facts and circumstances at issue from a lawyer licensed in the recipient's state, country or other appropriate licensing jurisdiction.